


## Q1 Overall, how would you rate the quality of this CLE Program?

Answered: 14 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	21.43%	3
Very Good	50.00%	7
Good	14.29%	2
Fair	7.14%	1
Poor	7.14%	1
TOTAL		14

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

## Q2 What factor was most important to you in deciding to attend today's program?

Answered: 13 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Convenience		53.85%	7
Price		15.38%	2
Relevance to your practice area		7.69%	1
Speaker(s)		7.69%	1
Program content		69.23%	9
Total Respondents: 13			

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Needed ethics/professionalism credit	12/15/2016 4:03 PM

## Q3 How did you learn about this program?

Answered: 14 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)		28.57%	4
CLE Website		42.86%	6
Bar Bulletin CLE ad		0.00%	0
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)		14.29%	2
Bar Bulletin Calendar		0.00%	0
E-mail from State Bar		21.43%	3
Facebook		0.00%	0
Twitter		0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 14			

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

## Q4 How much notice do you need to plan to attend a CLE?

Answered: 13 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
2 Months		23.08%	3
1 Month		53.85%	7
2 Weeks		7.69%	1
1 Week		15.38%	2
TOTAL			13

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

## Q5 I prefer the following types of programs:

Answered: 14 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Live Programs	42.86%	6
Live Webcasts	64.29%	9
Video Replays at State Bar	7.14%	1
Teleseminars	14.29%	2
Self Study	28.57%	4
Total Respondents: 14		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

## Q6 Faculty Evaluation


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



	EXCELLENT	VERY GOOD	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Nat Chakeres	25.00% 3	33.33% 4	33.33% 4	8.33% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	12	3.75
Hope Eckert	35.71% 5	35.71% 5	21.43% 3	7.14% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14	4.00
Ken Fladager	25.00% 3	33.33% 4	33.33% 4	8.33% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	12	3.75
Feliz Rael	25.00% 3	33.33% 4	33.33% 4	8.33% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	12	3.75
Jim Reist	35.71% 5	35.71% 5	21.43% 3	7.14% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14	4.00
JoAnn Stringfield	16.67% 2	41.67% 5	33.33% 4	8.33% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	12	3.67

## Q7 My area of Practice is:

Answered: 12 Skipped: 2




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Solo or Small Firm	83.33%	10
Corporate	8.33%	1
Government	8.33%	1
Paralegal	0.00%	0
Legal Service Provider	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 12		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Research Institute	12/17/2016 10:06 AM
2	Medium sized firm	12/15/2016 5:26 PM

## Q8 How many years have you been in your area of practice?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
0-5 Years	0.00%	0
6-10 Years	14.29%	2
11-15 Years	7.14%	1
Over 15 Years	78.57%	11
TOTAL		14

## Q9 Which of the following best describes your age?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
25-34	7.14%	1
35-44	14.29%	2
45-54	14.29%	2
55 +	64.29%	9
TOTAL		14

## Q10 I prefer the following types of learning methods:


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Lecture	71.43%	10
Large Group Discussion	21.43%	3
Small Group Discussion	7.14%	1
Case Study	28.57%	4
Role Play (Mock Trials, etc.)	21.43%	3
Total Respondents: 14		

## Q11 What other practice areas are of most interest to you? (Choose up to 5)

Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



## 2016 Mock Meeting of the Ethics Advisory Committee



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Animal Law	7.14%	1
Appellate Practice	14.29%	2
Bankruptcy Law	0.00%	0
Business Law	35.71%	5
Children's Law	0.00%	0
Criminal Law	14.29%	2
Elder Law	7.14%	1
Employment Law	21.43%	3
Family Law	0.00%	0
Government/Administrative Law	7.14%	1
Health Law	7.14%	1
Immigration Law	0.00%	0
Indian Law	7.14%	1
Intellectual Property Law	7.14%	1
Law Practice Management	21.43%	3
Litigation/Personal Injury	50.00%	7
Natural Resources/Environmental Law/Energy Law	0.00%	0
Oil & Gas Law	0.00%	0
Public Law	0.00%	0
Real Property	14.29%	2
Taxation Law	14.29%	2
Trial Practice	64.29%	9

## 2016 Mock Meeting of the Ethics Advisory Committee

Trust and Estate Law	7.14%	1
Water Law	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 14		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Entertainment Law	12/15/2016 5:19 PM

**Q12 For each practice area selected above (Question 11), please list a desired sub-topic.**

Answered: 4   Skipped: 10

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Transactions Contract Negotiation	12/15/2016 5:19 PM
2	Employment - WPA and FATA Litigation and Trial Practice - Mass torts	12/15/2016 4:03 PM
3	insurance & construction	12/15/2016 3:28 PM
4	Insurance Defense Civil Litigation	12/15/2016 3:25 PM

**Q13 Who can present these practices areas or subtopics (Questions 11 and 12)? Please list name and contact information if available.**

Answered: 2   Skipped: 12

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Meg Meister John Salazar Ruth Schifani	12/15/2016 5:19 PM
2	I don't know	12/15/2016 3:28 PM

## Q14 Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Answered: 2   Skipped: 12

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	All 12 hours should be available by self study at a reasonable cost i.e. \$25/hour with experienced local attorneys lecturing about an area of their expertise and teaching how to do what they are lecturing about. Current system too expensive and flooded with professional CLE teachers. A well-stocked library of good videos would allow one to watch programs about which one were interested rather than finding one which fits one's time schedule.	12/15/2016 5:19 PM
2	I thought this was one of the worst seminars I have attended. It was an utter waste of time. The attorneys on the "mock" committee seemed very vested in finding all of the possibilities that there was an ethics violation. I attended a Medical Marijuana seminar recently and the presenters there overwhelmingly agreed that the advisory opinion related to medical marijuana was absurd. Also, although the panel mentioned that there will be changes in the Rules of Professional Conduct with respect to the administration of trust accounts, rather than inform us of the changes (which would have been useful), they instead told us to review the rules, while ruminating about all of the potential ethics violations under the various seminars.	12/15/2016 4:03 PM